



NORTHWEST MISSOURI REGIONAL COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

SERVING ATCHISON, GENTRY, HOLT, NODAWAY, AND WORTH COUNTIES

TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Meeting Agenda – Posted November 1, 2018

Date: Tuesday, November 13, 2018
Location: Northwest Missouri Regional Council of Governments
114 W. Third St., Maryville, MO 64468
Time: 6:00 p.m.

Agenda

- I. Dinner

- II. Call to Order
 - a. Roll Call
 - b. Welcome and Introductions
 - c. Approval of August 21, 2018 Minutes

- III. Old Business
 - a. Replacing TAC members (Gentry and Atchison counties)

- IV. New Business
 - a. Identification of Needs for STIP/Maintenance/Multi-Modal Projects
 - b. MoDOT Updates – Shannon Kusilek & Adam Watson

- V. Other Business
 - a. Amy's Announcements
 - b. Next meeting January 15, 6 p.m., Regional Council Office

- VI. Adjourn

****If you require any accommodations (i.e. qualified interpreter, large print, hearing assistance) in order to attend this meeting, please notify this office at (660) 582-5121 no later than 48 hours prior to the commencement of the meeting.**

Northwest Missouri Transportation Advisory Committee Quarterly Meeting Minutes, November 13, 2018

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Gary Carlson at 6:00 p.m. at the Northwest Missouri Regional Council of Governments. Dinner was served.

Roll Call

Roll call was completed by sign-in sheet. The following members and guests were present:

TAC Members

Curtis Livengood, Atchison County
Jim Quimby, Atchison County
Gary Carlson, Gentry County
Kat Morgan, Gentry County
Mark Sitherwood, Holt County
David Carroll, Holt County
Hugh Wallace, Holt County
Bob Stiens, Nodaway County
Chris Burns, Nodaway County
Regan Nonneman, Worth County
Ted Findley, Worth County

Ex-Officio Members

Shannon Kusilek, District Planner, MoDOT
Adam Watson, District Engineer, MoDOT

Guests

Tom Bullock, Holt County
Dennis Sharkey, Mound City News

NWMORCOG Staff

Amy Dowis, Regional Planner

Agenda

Kat Morgan requested to add an item to the agenda regarding the Cross-Walk Safety problems at the Albany High School. We flipped a. and b. under New Business to allow MoDOT to present before prioritization took place and Amy asked to add an item under New Business for Ranking TAP Applications. Regan Nonneman made a motion and it was seconded by Bob Stiens to accept the proposed addition and changes. Motion passed.

Minutes

A motion was made by Kat Morgan and seconded by Curtis Livengood to approve the minutes from the August 21, 2018, meeting. Motion passed.

Old Business

Replacing TAC Members

Amy gave an update on where we are on replacing TAC members. Gentry County said they have a plan to fill their vacancy. Atchison County is having a hard time finding someone willing to serve on the TAC.

New Business

MoDOT Updates – Shannon Kusilek and Adam Watson

- ❖ Adam gave an update on the status of construction projects in the five counties. He also updated the TAC on the closed bridge in Worth County on Route YY. A contract has been awarded for design of the new bridge. Watson said they are still looking for

ways to advance this project but haven't found funds to do so at this point. He also gave an update on maintenance projects throughout the region. Adam gave a quick update on the Albany Cross-Walk Safety situation. He said new signage has been ordered and the cross-walk should be repainted before the end of MoDOT's painting season.

- ❖ Albany Cross-Walk Safety Problems – Kat Morgan shared that they had a super serious near miss in the cross walk at the Albany High School/Middle School when a student was nearly struck by a car going way too fast on Highway 85 who ignored the cross walk. Highway 85 runs by the High School/Middle School. Kat said they are collecting traffic data and plan to host a meeting after the first of the year to work on solving this problem.
- ❖ MoDOT Asset Management Summary
Shannon presented an update on MoDOT's Asset Management. The information from the presentation can be found below...

MoDOT Asset Management Summary Northwest District – 2020 through 2029

Existing System

1. Pavements
 - Interstates – 775 lane miles
 - Major Routes – 1,438 lane miles
 - Minor Routes – 3,116 lane miles
 - Low Volume Routes – 6,001 lane miles
2. Typical Bridges
 - 973 in District
3. Major Bridges (over 1,000 feet long)
 - 27 in District

Current Conditions

1. Pavements (% Good Condition)
 - Interstates – 93%
 - Major Routes – 85%
 - Minor Routes – 79%
 - Low Volume Routes – 67%
1. Bridges (Typical Bridges)
 - Poor Condition – 176
 - Fair Condition – 435
2. Bridges (Major Bridges)
 - 8 bridges needing repair work

Proposed Funding (FY 2020 – 2029)

- Total proposed District funding - \$645.5 million
- Yearly Average - \$64.55 million
 - District funding allocation - \$41.85 million/year

- Interstate/Major Bridge Funds - \$19.24 million/year
- Safety Funds - \$2.6 million/year
- Transportation Alternative Funds - \$0.8 million/year

Goals for 2020 AMP Update

1. Pavement Conditions (% Good)
 - Interstates & Major Routes – 90% (combined)
 - Minor routes – 80%
 - Low Volume – Maintain current condition (Maintenance funds only)
2. Bridges
 - Maintain level of poor condition bridges
3. ADA Transition Plan – Complete District plan by 2026

Estimated Costs – To Reach Goals

1. Pavements
 - Interstates - **\$11.9 million/year**
 - 90 lane miles per year @ \$132,600 per lane mile
 - Major Routes - **\$10.2 million/year**
 - 158 lane mile per year @ \$84,600 per lane mile
 - Minor Routes - **\$7.9 million/year**
 - 277 lane miles per year @ \$28,600 per lane mile
2. Bridges
 - Major Bridges - \$92.4 million (**\$9.24 million/year**)
 - Typical Bridges
 - Replacements – **\$4.6 million/year**
7 bridges/year @ \$700,00 per bridge
 - Re-decks – **\$5.3 million/year**
12 bridges/year @ \$475,00 per bridge
 - Repair - **\$4.8 million/year**
3. ADA Transition plan - **\$2.3 million/year**
4. Safety Projects - **\$1.7 million/year**

Total Available vs. Total Expenditures

- Funds Available = **\$64.55 million/year**
- Estimated Expenditures = **\$67.7 million/year**
- **Deficit = \$3.15 million/year (\$31.5 million over 10 years)**

❖ *Prioritization of Regional STIP Projects*

There some new projects added to the priorities list from 2018. Amy provided a list of the projects, along with a slideshow that featured photos of each project, as well as safety data, bridge rating information, etc., then each county was given time to speak about their projects. Once this was finished, each voting member of TAC was given nine stickers (3 green, 3 yellow, and 3 pink), The green stickers were worth 3 points, the pink were worth 2 points and the yellow stickers were each one point. TAC members were asked to place their stickers on the projects they felt were most important, but they could only put one sticker per project. The stickers were then

tabulated, and this gave us our FY 19 STIP priorities. The top ten will be submitted to MoDOT upon approval by the RCOG Board at its December meeting. A ranked list follows:

Facility/Roadway	ty	y	ore
Highway 46 Bridge West of Grant City (#J0024)	h		
Route W Bridge over West Fork	h		
Highway 59 Bridge over Little Tarkio	:		
One-way bridge on YY north of Gentry	ry		
0386 one-way bridge on Route T/McFall Road	ry		
Route NN Bridge over Platte River (Parnell)	vay		
Highway 159 shoulders	:		
Highway 71 @ South Avenue	vay		
I29 @ US 136 lighting	on		
Highway 59 (from I29 south exit to Hwy. 113)	:		

❖ *Prioritization of County Maintenance Projects*

Each county prioritized their own maintenance projects. Those of highest priority were ranked number one. Atchison County priorities are as follows: Route C pavement, Route O pavement, Route CC pavement, Route U pavement, Route T pavement, and Route BB pavement. Gentry County priorities are: Route T pavement and shoulders, Route N pavement and shoulders, Route FF pavement, Route U (NE of Alanthus/W of Gentry) pavement, and Route DD pavement. Holt County listed priorities of: Route C (northern Holt County) overlay and shoulders, Route D overlay and shoulders, Route B (Hwy. 113 to Hwy. 59) overlay and shoulders, and Route DD (from Route C to County Line) pavement. Holt County also requested that these issues not be patched but rather do the complete highway and noted a request for better snow removal. Nodaway County has priorities of: Route ZZ pavement, Route YY pavement, Route PP pavement, Route B pavement, and Route AH pavement. Worth County gave their priorities as: Gravel where county roads meet highways, Route Z pavement, Route U pavement, Route K pavement, and Route Y pavement.

❖ *Prioritization of Multi-Modal Projects*

There were only two projects submitted for Multi-Modal so we didn't rank them, but the group discussed them. Holt County would like there to be development of the barge terminal at Craig. This is already a designated port. Worth County would like a helipad for LifeNet use in a central location of the county. They've already been fundraiser for this and have a location chosen.

❖ *Ranking of TAP applications*

The final item on the agenda was for the TAC members to rank the TAP grant applications that were submitted from our five counties. There were three applications submitted: City of Maryville #1, Torrance Street Trail Expansion, Nodaway County; City of Maryville #2, 4th Street pedestrian/connectivity (NWMSU), Nodaway County;

and City of Stanberry Safe Routes to School, Gentry County. Amy gave a brief synopsis of each project which was followed by discussion amongst the group before each voting member ranked the three projects. The final ranking order is: City of Stanberry, Maryville #1 and Maryville #2.

Other Business

The next TAC meeting will be Tuesday, January 15, 2019, 6:00 p.m. at the Northwest Missouri Regional Council of Governments' office.

Adjourn

Bob Stiens motioned to adjourn at 8:25 p.m., seconded by Ted Findley. Meeting adjourned.

Chairman or Vice-Chairman

Minutes recorded by Amy Dowis